## Part IB Paper 6: Information Engineering LINEAR SYSTEMS AND CONTROL Glenn Vinnicombe

#### HANDOUT 7

#### "The design of feedback systems - an introduction"



# 7.1 Feedback system design, a loop-shaping approach

- This consists of choosing K(s) to shape L(s) = K(s)G(s) such that
- 1.  $|K(j\omega)G(j\omega)| \gg 1$  for frequency ranges where the benefits of feedback are sought (typically  $\omega < \omega_c$ ) (in order to ensure that the sensitivity function  $|S(j\omega)| \ll 1$  at those frequencies.)
- 2.  $|K(j\omega)G(j\omega)| \ll 1$  at other frequencies (typically high frequencies  $\omega \gg \omega_c$ ) (ensuring that the complementary sensitivity function  $|T(j\omega)| \ll 1$  at those frequencies.)
- 3.  $K(j\omega)G(j\omega)$  satisfies the Nyquist stability criterion, with adequate gain and phase margins. (ensuring that neither  $S(j\omega)$  or  $T(j\omega)$  have a large peak in the *crossover* region in between)



To achieve this, we can use combinations of phase lag and phase lead compensators. Phase lag compensators are a generalized form of P+I action and phase lead compensators are a generalized form of P+D action.

Note: Example 2 of Handout 4 is a phase lead compensator and Example 3 is a PI controller (and so a special case of a phase lag compensator).

• 
$$K(s) = k \frac{s + \alpha}{s + \beta}$$
 for  $\beta < \alpha$  (<  $\omega_c$  typically)  $= \frac{k\alpha}{\beta} \frac{1 + s/\alpha}{1 + s/\beta}$ 

- Phase lag compensator (a generalized form of proportional+integral action, this becomes a PI controller for  $\beta = 0$ ).



- improves low frequency gain (and so reduces steady-state errors) at the expense of introducing phase lag at frequencies between  $\omega \approx \beta$ and  $\omega \approx \alpha$  (although this is not an issue if  $\alpha \ll \omega_c$ ).

• 
$$K(s) = k \frac{s + \alpha}{s + \beta}$$
 for  $\alpha < \beta$  (and  $\alpha < \omega_c < \beta$  typically)

- Phase lead Compensator (a generalized form of proportional+derivative action).



- can improve gain and phase margins (improving robustness and damping) at the expense of decreasing the low frequency gain (increasing steady-state errors) and increasing the high frequency gain (increasing sensitivity to noise).

NOTE: WE CAN USE A COMBINATION OF PHASE LEAD AND PHASE LAG.

Lead/lag controller design for the Lego "Hubble"



Lead/lag controller design for the Lego "Hubble"



(L(s) is plotted in each case)

### What the course was about:

The keys to understanding the course are the following *two* relationships:

- The relationship between the time and frequency (Laplace) domains:
  - Steady state responses (to both constant and sinusoidal inputs).
  - Pole locations.
- The relationship between open and closed loop properties (i.e. predicting properties of the feedback system from its return ratio).
  - Nyquist stability criterion (predicting stability of the feedback system).
  - Gain and phase margins (predicting the robustness of that stability and, indirectly, closed loop pole locations).
  - Understanding the map  $L(j\omega) \mapsto L(j\omega)/(1 + L(j\omega))$  and  $L(j\omega)/(1 + L(j\omega))$  (predicting the *performance* of the feedback system which involves reading off  $L(j\omega)$  and  $1 + L(j\omega)$  from the Nyquist diagram).

That is, it is all about understanding the relationship between various diagrams - if you can understand the relationship between all the figures in the vcl demo (which you can download from www-control.eng.cam.ac.uk/gv/p6) then you've got it!

